

I. Traditional Cosmological Argument

**St. Thomas Aquinas' (Christian Theologian and Philosopher, lived 1225-1274)
First Cause Argument (from Schick & Vaughn, 409)¹:**

P1: Some things are caused.

P2: Nothing can cause itself.

P3: Therefore, everything that is caused by something other than itself.

P4: The chain of causes cannot stretch infinitely backward in time.

P5: If the chain of causes cannot stretch infinity backward in time, there must be a first cause.

C: Therefore, everything that is caused has a first cause, namely, God.

Criticisms

II. The Kalam Cosmological Argument

The Kalam Cosmological Argument (Ibid. 412)

P1: Whatever begins to exist has a cause.

P2: The universe began to exist.

C: There, the universe had a cause, namely God.

Criticisms

¹ Theodore Schick and Lewis Vaughn, *Doing Philosophy: An Introduction Through Thought Experiments* (New York: McGraw-Hill Higher Education), 409.

III. Teleological Argument

Williams Paley's (English Clergyman, lived 1743 – 1805) Teleological Argument [The Analogical Design Argument] (Ibid. 417):

P1: The universe resembles a watch

P2: Every watch has a designer.

C: Therefore, the universe probably has a designer, namely, God.

Criticisms

The Best-Explanation Design Argument (Ibid. 419)

P1: The universe exhibits apparent design.

P2: The best explanation of this apparent design is that it was designed by a supernatural being.

C: Therefore it's probably that the universe was designed by a supernatural being, namely, God.

Criticisms

IV. Ontological Argument

St. Anselm's (Archbishop of Canterbury, lived 1033 – 1149) Ontological Argument (Ibid. 434)

P1: God, by definition, is the greatest being possible.

P2: If God exists only in our minds, then it is possible for there to be a being greater than God, namely, a being like God that exists in reality.

P3: But it is not possible for there to be a being greater than God.

C: Therefore God must exist in reality.

Criticisms

Descartes' (French Philosopher, lived 1596 – 1650) Ontological Argument (Ibid. 435)

P1: God, by definition, possesses all possible perfection.

P2: Existence is perfection.

C: Since God is perfect, He must exist.

Criticisms

V. **Other Arguments**

The Argument from Miracles (Ibid. 425)

P1: There are events that seem to be miracles.

P2: The best explanation of these events is that they were performed by a miracle worker.

C: Therefore, there probably is a miracle worker, namely, God.

Criticisms

Argument from Religious Experience (Ibid. 430)

P1: People have experiences that seem to be of God.

P2: The best explanation of these experiences is that they are of God.

C: Therefore, it is probably that God exists.

Criticisms

Pascal's Wager (17th Century Mathematician) (Ibid. 437)

“Let us weigh up the gain and the loss involved in calling heads that God exists. Let us assess the two cases: if you win, you win everything, if you lose, you lose nothing. Do not hesitate then; wager that he does exist.”

Criticisms
